EXHIBIT B

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
3
      IN RE: BARD IVC FILTERS * MDL NO.:
5
      PRODUCTS LIABILITY * MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC
  LITIGATION
           DO NOT DISCLOSE - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
10
                  CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
    VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PIOTR SOBIESZCZYK, M.D.
13
          NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
14
                  One Post Office Square
                   Boston, Massachusetts
15
16
             September 29, 2017 1:54 p.m.
17
18
19
20
                Maryellen Coughlin, RPR/CRR
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                   Mm-hmm.
           Α.
 2.
           Ο.
                   On the second page. The first --
 3
     I'm sorry. At the bottom. I might refer to
 4
     numbers at the bottom for ease.
 5
           Α.
                   Mm-hmm.
6
                   In the first paragraph it says,
           0.
7
     "The purpose of this expert review is to examine
8
     the clinical aspects of Ms. Booker's
     venothromboembolic disease and render an opinion
9
10
     regarding the impact of the Bard retrievable
11
     filter on her health after filter implantation."
12
                   Now what does that mean?
13
                   I was -- I reviewed her clinical
           Α.
14
     case and rendered opinion as to what transpired,
     what happened to her and offered comment on her
15
16
     clinical condition before and after filter
17
     implantation.
18
                   Well, as an example, you state
19
     several times in your report that there were
20
     opportunities to take the filter out.
21
                   Do you recall that?
22
           A.
                   I do.
23
                   And I quess my question, following
           0.
     up on that intro paragraph is, are you planning
24
25
     on giving opinions at the time of trial that one
```

- of the doctors, whether it's a radiologist or
- treating physician, was negligent or breached the
- standard of care in not taking the filter out?
- A. I have not been asked to comment on
- whether standard of care was applied or not, and
- I don't intend to.
- Q. So you're not going to come to
- 8 trial and say, I think this doctor should have
- 9 taken the filter out at this point and he's at
- fault for these injuries? We're not going to
- 11 hear that?
- 12 A. I will -- that's not my intention.
- You're not and have not been asked
- to, nor have you looked at the standard of care
- for any of these other doctors in the position
- they were in to make determinations to whether
- they had breached the standard of care?
- I have not been asked to do that.
- Q. All right. You said you've placed
- 20 and retrieved over 200 filters. I also read that
- within this.
- A. I think that's a fair number. I
- don't know the exact number.
- Q. Okay. Well, have you looked back
- and determined of the filters that you've placed,

individual practice based upon your experience. 1 2. Α. That is correct. But you're not intending -- you 3 0. 4 have not formed any opinion, nor do you intend to 5 express any opinion during the trial of this 6 case, that either Dr. King or Dr. Patel or 7 Dr. Harvey breached the standard of care of their 8 respective specialities in their medical care and treatment of Ms. Booker? 9 10 That's not my intent. A. 11 Okay. And so now is the time to Q. 12 hear it, you are not going to be expressing those 13 opinions at trial, true? 14 A. About standard of care? 15 Yes. Ο. 16 Α. No. 17 Okay. Now, I understand that in --Ο. 18 well, first of all, let me ask you this, have you 19 read the deposition of Dr. Harvey or Dr. King? 20 I think I briefly scanned them, Α. 21 yes. 22 Okay. 'Cause they weren't --Ο. 23 'cause they weren't available at the time of this 24 report, but I just want to make sure that you 25 have read their depositions.